Review article: chromosomal diagnosis in rhabdomyosarcoma

Artigo de revisão: diagnóstico cromosômico em rabdomiossarcoma

Plínio Cerqueira dos Santos Cardoso¹, Marcelo de Oliveira Bahia^{1,2}, Marcelo Razera Baruffi³, Juliana Simão Nina de Azevedo², André Salim Khayat², Marilia de Arruda Cardoso Smith⁴, Paulo Pimentel de Assumpção⁵, Rommel Rodríguez Burbano^{2,4}, Luiz Gonzaga Tone³

Resumo

Os rabdomiossarcomas (RMS) são considerados tumores clinicamente agressivos com origem a partir de células mesenquimais imaturas e que se caracterizam pela presença de células com diferenciação pouco definida. O emprego das técnicas citogenéticas convencionais em RMS vem contribuindo consideravelmente para a diferenciação entre os rabdomiossarcomas alveolares e os outros tumores de células pequenas e redondas, além de fornecer informações prognósticas importantes referente ao rabdomiossarcoma do tipo alveolar. Assim, este trabalho visa a realizar uma revisão das alterações citogenéticas observadas nos diferentes subtipos histológicos de RMS, enfocando não só os trabalhos de citogenética convencional, mas também novas abordagens utilizadas para o estudo de neoplasias tais como FISH, CGH, SKY e M-FISH. Tais metodologias vêm contribuindo de maneira significativa para a melhor compreensão da heterogeneidade cariotípica observada nos RMS. **Palavras-chave:** Cromossomos; Citogenética; Rabidomiossarcoma.

Abstract

Rhabdomyosarcomas (RMS) are considered clinically aggressive tumors, originated from immature mesenchymal cells and characterized by the presence of cells with an ill-defined differentiation. The use of conventional cytogenetic techniques has contributed considerably to distinguish the alveolar RMSs from the other types of solid tumors in children and adolescents. Besides that, it provides important prognostic informations about alveolar RMSs. Thus, the present work was aimed at reviewing the cytogenetic alterations observed in the different histological subtypes of RMS, focusing not only on the studies performed with conventional cytogenetics, but also on new approaches used in the study of neoplasms, such as FISH, CGH, SKY and M-FISH. These methodologies have contributed significantly to a better understanding of the karyotype heterogeneity observed in RMS. *Key words:* Chromosomes; Cytogenetics; Rhabdomyosarcomas.

¹ Departamento de Genética, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto - Universidade de São Paulo (FMRP-USP), Ribeirão Preto, SP

² Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, PA

³ Departamento de Pediatria (FMRP-USP), Ribeirão Preto, SP

⁴ Disciplina de Genética, Departamento de Morfologia, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (EPM/UNIFESP), São Paulo, SP

⁵ Serviço de Cirurgia, Hospital Universitário João de Barros Barreto (UFPA), Belém, PA

Endereço para correspondência: Rommel Rodríguez Burbano - Laboratório de Citogenética Humana, Departamento de Biologia / Centro de Ciências Biológicas - Campus Universitário do Guamá - Universidade Federal do Pará - Av. Augusto Correa, 01 - CEP 66075-900 - Belém - PA. *E-mail:* rommel@ufpa.br

INTRODUCTION, EPIDEMIOLOGY AND ETIOLOGY

Rhabdomyosarcomas (RMS) are considered clinically aggressive tumors originated from primitive and immature mesenchymal cells, which are located in a skeletal muscle line and can be formed within a variety of organs and tissues, including those without striated muscles¹. This tumor presents immunohistochemical expression of myosin, actin, desmin, myoglobin and Zband protein² and expresses a DNA binding protein, MYOD1, which may be a lineage marker for rhabdomyosarcomas³.

This pathology was originally described by Weber⁴ in 1854 and is characterized mainly by the presence of cells with an ill-defined differentiation, which significantly increases the difficulty of making a histopathological diagnosis and distinguish the alveolar RMSs from the other types of solid tumors in children and adolescents (e.g. neuroblastomas, non-Hodgkin lymphomas and the tumors of the Ewing's family)⁵. In the United States of America a collaborative Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study Group (IRS) was established in order to investigate the biology and treatment of this tumor⁶. IRS-V is currently ongoing and four prior studies have been concluded^{6,7}.

RMS is the most common soft tissue sarcoma in the first two decades of life³. It accounts for 10-15% of solid malignant tumors and 6% of all malignancies in infants under 15 years of age². In children, RMS has an annual incidence of 4.3 cases per million individuals and some studies show a significant predominance of this type of tumor in males (11.8 per million) as compared to females (10.3 per million)^{8.9}. In the United States of America the proportion between male to female is 1.5:1, the tumor is twice as common in Caucasians as in African-Americans and approximately 250 new cases are diagnosed every year².

Recently published studies on the possible etiologic factors related to the development of this disease demonstrate that the great majority of RMS cases occur sporadically. It is believed, however, that the development of this pathology may be related to certain kinds of syndromes, such as neurofibromatosis type 1¹⁰, Li-Fraumeni Syndrome (LFS)¹¹, Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome¹² and Costello Syndrome¹³. Besides, other known risk factors include marijuana and cocaine use, maternal exposure to radiation, and female health care workers may be contributing to the development of this disease¹⁴.

So far, only a small number of RMS cases have been characterized cytogenetically, although these tumors are

considered relatively common in childhood and adolescence. This is probably due to the difficulty in obtaining metaphases from primary tumors, which contributes to the small number of studies available in the literature¹⁵.

CLASSIFICATION

Generally, RMSs are classified into three groups: (a) pleomorphic, (b) embryonal (ERMS) and it's relative variants (botryoid and spindle-cell RMS) and (c) alveolar (ARMS) (including the solid alveolar variant)¹⁶.

Pleomorphic tumors usually arise on the limbs and trunks of adults over 45 years old, but isolated cases in pediatric age group have been reported^{17,18}. It comprises only 1% of childhood rhabdomyosarcomas and, microscopically, this tumor presents large pleomorphic cells with multinucleated giant cells¹⁹. Immunohistochemistry technics are usually required to distinguish it from liposarcoma or malignant fibrous histiocytoma².

ERMS is the most common variety and comprises over half of all RMS cases diagnosed²⁰. This subtype usually occurs before 8 years of age and frequently arises (60% of the cases) in the head and neck region (particularly the orbit, nasopharynx, oral cavity and middle ear)^{21,2}. ERMS tumors may occur also in retroperitoneum, bile ducts and urogenital tract²¹.

It shows a mixture of spindle and undifferentiated round cells and immature striated muscle-like cells (called rhabdomyoblasts) with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm either tightly or loosely packed in a myxoid background. The botryoid variant is a morphologic subtype of the embryonal variety and its name derives from its gross appearence which resembles a "cluster of grapes"²². This subtype accounts for 5% of all RMS cases and usually arises under mucosal surfaces such as nasopharynx, oral cavity, vagina and bladder^{23,20}. Botryoid tumors have the best prognosis and are typically observed in infants under 5 years of age¹⁴.

Another rare variant of ERMS (spindle-cell) accounts for 3% of all RMS²⁴ and the higher survival rate supports that this group has a favorable histologic subtype¹⁴. Histologically, it is characterized by fascicles of spindle cells, reminiscent of a leiomyosarcoma and tends to appear in an unproportional manner in the paratesticular region. It can be also seen in the extremities, cavities, head and neck²⁵.

ARMS generally occurs in 10-30 year old patients². This subtype is more frequent in tumors arising in adolescents²⁶ and comprises about 25% of all RMS²⁰. ARMS tumors are often more firm, less myxoid and

occur more commonly on the limbs and trunk². Under the microscope, small, round or oval tumor cells are observed in nest by connective tissue septa¹⁶. Eosinophilic cytoplasm growing in thin strands of fibrovascular stroma with "free floating" tumor cells are observed². The acidophilia of the cytolplasm and the presence of occasional multinucleated giants cells are important diagnostic features²⁷. A variant form (solid ARMS), with small and round cells, has been identified²⁸.

CONVENTIONAL CYTOGENETICS AND RMS

The use of conventional cytogenetic techniques in RMS has contributed considerably to make important prognostic information available. Numerical and structural abnormalities thus observed can help classifying the histological subtypes when tumor characterization by microscopy is difficult²⁹.

ARMS

In approximately 70% of the ARMS cases, a characteristic translocation is observed involving chromosomes 2 and 13 t(2;13)(q35;q14)³⁰, affecting the PAX3 gene at band 2q35 and the FKHR gene at band 13q14³¹. On the other hand, in about 15-20% of cases, a variant translocation t(1;13)(p36;q14)³² can be observed, juxtaposing gene PAX7 at band 1p36 and gene FKHR at band 13q14 on the chromosome^{33,34}.

Structural alterations involving chromosome 1 were described, such as del(1)(p11)²⁹, del(1)(p21-pter)³⁵, the $i(1q)^{36}$ and the der(1) observed by Magnani et al. (1991)³⁷ in a RMS cell line. Other chromosome 1 alterations, such as t(1,22)³⁸, t(1;5) ³⁹ and t(1;11)⁴⁰ have also been described in the literature. These reports emphasize the crucial role of chromosome 1 in the development and/ or progression of this kind of tumor²⁹.

Abnormalities related to chromosome 17 were observed as well, such as $add(17)(q25)^{29}$ and $t(17;?)(q25;?)^{27}$. Other alterations of chromosome 17 were identified as t(14;17)(q24;q11), add (17)(q?) and t(17;22)(q21;q13) in different cases studied by Kullendorf et al. (1998)⁴⁰. Gains of $17q21^{41}$ and the presence of an i(17q) were also described³⁹. A variety of different genes have been found to be amplified in the ARMS subtype, which leads to frequent observations of double-minute chromosomes double minutes (dmins)⁴².

Botryoid RMS and Spindel-Cell Variant

Even though the number of papers describing cytogenetic findings in botryoid RMS is not significant, some sporadic cases have been reported, as one $inv(9)(p11q13),+del(1)(p12),+13,+18^{43};one$

add(11)(q21),t(8;11)(q12 approximately 13;q21)⁴⁴; one i(17)(q10)⁴⁵, and one psu dic (1;10)(p13;p15), der(16)t(16;17)(p13.3;q21)⁴¹. In addition to these alterations, gains involving chromosomes 2, 4, 8, 19 and 20 were also described in the literature. Due to this karyotype heterogeneity, further cytogenetic studies are necessary, in order to determine: (1) whether these cytogenetic findings are consistently associated with this malignant lesion; and (2) whether their presence has any prognostic significance⁴¹.

The cytogenetic analysis of one spindle-cell variant revealed the abnormal karyotype 46,XX,der(2)t(2;7)(q36 approximately q37;q3?), del(14)(q24), der(16)t(1;16) (q21;q13)⁴⁶.

ERMS

Although no consistent alteration has been found so far, a number of studies have been performed on ERMS from the cytogenetic point of view⁴¹. Gil-Benso et al. $(2003)^{47}$ reported a case with a der(11)t(3;11)(p21;p15). In addition to this abnormality, these tumors are often hyperploid, presenting extra chromosomes 2⁴⁰, 8⁴⁸, 9, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, and 20, besides loss of chromosomes 10, 14, 15 and 16⁴⁹. Scrable et al. (1989)⁵⁰ and Koufos et al. (1985)⁵¹ demonstrated that there was a loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at 11p15.5 in 13 out of 14 ERMS tumors analyzed. Trisomy of chromosomes 2 and 13, structural abnormalities involving 1g and/or 1p and regions 3p14-21 have also been reported in ERMS and undifferentiated sarcomas³⁵. Recently, Ho et al. (2004)⁵² described a novel chromosomal t(2;20)(q35;p12) occurring in a case of childhood RMS with embryonal histology.

RMS AND FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HIBRIDIZATION (FISH)

The success of cytogenetic studies from solid tumors has been limited by the difficulties faced in obtaining an adequate number of metaphase cells and by the poor quality of the spread and banded chromosomes^{53,54}. However, lately these limitations of the conventional method have been bypassed using the fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) method⁵⁵. The use of this technique on metaphases and interphase nuclei, associated with the classical cytogenetic studies, has played a major role in the detection of specific chromosome rearrangements⁵⁶.

Using FISH, McManus et al. (1996)⁵⁷ detected the translocation t(2;13)(q35;q14) in four ARMS cases, but this alteration was not detected in the ERMS type. The amplification of the gene HER-2/neu (which encodes a protein that takes part in the structure of the epidermal growth factor receptor) was clearly demonstrated from

paraffin-embedded histological sections of ERMS⁵⁸. FISH was also used to detect amplifications of the oncogene MYCN in 15 ARMSs and 14 ERMSs. This amplification was observed in 9 out of the 15 ARMSs, but in none of the 14 ERMSs⁵⁹.

The results obtained by Afify & Mark, (1999)⁴⁸ showed that 6 out of the 12 ERMSs studied by them presented trisomy of chromosome 8. Other trisomies, such as trisomy 2, were detected in nine out of ten ARMS cases studied by Biegel et al. (1995)⁶⁰.

Using probes for 6 different chromosomes, Lee et al. $(1993)^{61}$ found multiple copies of chromosomes 8 and 12 and one clone with trisomy 11, but no numerical aberration whatsoever involving chromosomes 6, 17 or 18 in RMS. Trisomy of chromosome 11 was described in three ERMS cases by Scrable et al. $(1989)^{50}$ and in five cases by Wang-Wuu et al. $(1988)^{62}$.

SPECTRAL KARYOTYPING (SKY), MULTI-FLUOROPHORE FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION (M-FISH), COMPARATIVE GENOMIC HYBRIDIZATION (CGH) AND RMS

The use of CGH, SKY and M-FISH techniques has proven to be a more efficient approach for defining complex structural and numerical abnormalities in the study of solid tumors and in the distinction of patients with different biological types of RMS⁶³. These techniques are alternative methods which require specific digital analysis programs and the use of expensive probes. They were developed, nevertheless, because of the limitations of the analysis and the poor quality of the slides obtained by the traditional banding methods from chromosome preparations of RMS cells^{5,64}.

The use of CGH in RMS has shown that genomic amplifications observed as dmins and homogeneously stained regions are present in a higher proportion in ARMS and only in a few embryonal cases⁶⁵.

Using several molecular cytogenetic techniques such as SKY, M-FISH and CGH, Roberts et al. (2001)⁵ observed a number of recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities in 5 ERMS lines and in one ARMS line that was negative for the PAX-FKHR fusion. These abnormalities included translocations involving chromosomes 1 and 15 (4 of the 6 lines) and chromosomes 2 and 15 (2 of the 6 lines). All 6 lines displayed chromosome 15 abnormalities⁵.

In some ERMS cases, the cytogenetic studies have shown gains of entire chromosomes or chromosome regions, especially of chromosomes 2, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 13q21, and 20 (in 33-67% of these tumors), and these findings have been confirmed by CGH⁶⁶. Losses of 1p35~p36, 16, 6, 9q22, 10, 14, 15, 14q21~q32, and

17 (in 20-42% of these tumors) that had been detected by conventional cytogenetics were also confirmed by CGH $^{67, 66}$.

Finally, Meddeb et al. (1996)⁶⁵ observed the presence of a single point in region 12q13q14 that contained amplified copies of the gene MDM2 by using CGH. This observation had already been made in RMS by conventional cytogenetics through the presence of dmins.

CONCLUSION

Cytogenetic analysis of RMSs have shown to be important for the clinical diagnosis, besides being useful in identifying the genes involved in the tumorigenesis process. Its use has been helpful in clarifying inconclusive histological findings, making the differential diagnosis easier. It is however worth pointing out that the molecular cytogenetic methods, although useful in detecting chromosome alterations, should not replace the conventional cytogenetic method, because this one provides information based on a complete karyotype.

The cytogenetic techniques described in this review have been used at the Pediatrics Laboratory of the FMRP-USP Hospital das Clínicas and at the Cytogenetic Laboratory of the EPM/UNIFESP, where our research team carries out chromosome studies aimed at diagnosing such neoplasias. With this review, we intend to contribute to the publication of the molecular technological advances developed starting from the classical methodology that allowed identifying chromosome markers currently used for the study of proliferative processes in pediatrics.

REFERENCES

- 1. McCarville MB, Spunt SL, Pappo AS. Rhabdomyosarcoma in pediatric patients: the good, the bad, and unusual. AJR. 2001;176:1563-9.
- 2. Stuart A, Radhakrishnan J. Rhabdomyosarcoma. Indian J Pediatr 2004;71(4):331-7.
- 3. LaQuaglia MP. Rhabdomyosarcoma and nonrhabdomyomatous sarcomas. In: Oldham KT, Colombani PM, Foglia RP, editors. Surgery of infants and children: scientific principles and practice. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1997. p. 615-31.
- 4. Weber CO. Anatomische untersuchung einer hypertrophischen zunge nebst bemerkungen ueber die neubildung quergestreifter muskelfasern, virchow. Arch Pathol Anat. 1854;7:115-21.
- Roberts I, Gordon A, Wang R, Pritchard-Jones K, Shipley J, Coleman N. Molecular cytogenetic analysis consistently identifies translocations involving chromosomes 1,2 and 15 in five embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines and a

PAX-FOXO1A fusion gene negative alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma cell line. Cytogenet Cell Genet. 2001;95:134-42.

- Raney RB, Anderson JR, Barr FG, Donaldson SS, Pappo AS, Qualman SJ, et al. Rhabdomyosarcoma and undifferentiated sarcoma in the first two decades of life: a selective review of Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma study group experience and rationale for Intergroup Rhabdomyo-sarcoma Study V. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2001;23:215-20.
- 7. Crist WM, Anderson JR, Meza JL, Fryer C, Raney RB, Ruymann FB, et al. Intergroup rhabdomyosarcoma study-IV: results for patients with non-metastatic disease. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19:3091-102.
- 8. Gurney JG, Young JL Jr, Roffers SD, Smith MA, Bunin GR. Soft tissues sarcomas. In: Ries LAG, Smith MA, Gurney JG, Linet M, Tamra T, Young JL Jr, et al, editors. Cancer incidence and survival among children and adolescents: United States SEER Program 1975-1995. Bethesda: National Cancer Institute; 1999. p. 111. (SEER Program. NIH Publication; no. 99-4649).
- 9. Pappo AS, Shapiro DN, Crist WM, Maurer HM. Biology and therapy of pediatric rhabdomyosarcoma. J Clin Oncol. 1995;13:2123-39.
- 10. Yang P, Grufferman S, Khoury MJ, Schwartz AG, Kowalski J, Ruymann FB, et al. Association of childhood rhabdomyosarcoma with neurofibromatosis type I and birth defects. Genet Epidemiol. 1995;12:467-74.
- 11. Heyn R, Haeberlen V, Newton WA, Ragab AH, Raney RB, Tefft M, et al. Second malignant neoplasms in children treated for rhabdomyosarcoma. Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study Committee. J Clin Oncol. 1993;11:262-70.
- 12. Smith AC, Squire JA, Thorner P, Zielenska M, Shuman C, Grant R, et al. Association of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma with Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome. Pediatr Dev Pathol. 2001;4(6):550-8.
- Gripp KW, Scott CI Jr, Nicholson L, McDonald-McGinn DM, Ozeran JD, Jones MC, et al. Five additional Costello syndrome patients with rhabdomyosarcoma: proposal for a tumor screening protocol. Am J Med Genet. 2002;108:80-7.
- 14. Wiener ES. Head and Neck Rhabdomyosarcoma. Semin Pediatr Surg. 1994;3(3):203-6.
- Polito P, Dal Cin P, Sciot R, Brock P, Van Eyken P, Van den Berghe H. Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma with only numerical chromosome changes. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1999;109:161-5.
- Juan Rosai. Rosai and Ackerman's Surgical Pathology. In: Juan Rosai, editor. Soft tissues. 9th ed. New York: Mosby; 2004. p. 2237-371.
- 17. Furlong MA, Fanburg-Smith JC. Pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma in children: four cases in the pediatric age group. Ann Diagn. 2001;5:199-206.

- Furlong MA, Fentzel T, Fanburg-Smith JC. Pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma in adults: a clinicopathologic study of 38 cases with emphasis on morphologic variants and recent skeletal muscle-specific markers. Mod Pathol. 2001;14:595-603.
- 19. Schurch W, Begin LR, Seemayer TA, Lagace R, Boivin JC, Lamoureux C, et al. Pleomorphic soft tissue myogenic sarcomas of adulthood: a reappraisal in the mid-1990s. Am J Surg Pathol. 1996;20:131-47.
- 20. William HM, Sheri LS. Soft tissue sarcomas of childhood. Cancer Treat Rev. 2004;30:269-80.
- 21. Peters E, Cohen M, Altini M, Murray J. Rhabdomyosarcoma of the oral and para-oral region. Cancer. 1989;63:963-6.
- 22. Pappo AS, Shapiro DN, Crist WM. Rhabdomyosarcoma biology and treatment. Pediatr Oncol. 1997;44(4):953-71.
- 23. Chigurupati R, Alfatooni A, Myall RW, Hawkins D, Oda D. Orofacial rhabdomyosarcoma in neonates and young children review of literature and management of four cases. Oral Oncol. 2002;38:508-15.
- 24. Qualman SJ, Coffin CM, Newton WA, Hojo H, Triche TJ, Parham DM, et al. Intergroup rhabdomyosarcoma study: update for pathologist. Pediatr Dev Pathol. 1998;1:550-61.
- 25. Leuschner I, Newton WA Jr, Schmidt D, Sachs N, Asmar L, Hamoudi A, et al. Spindle cell variants of embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma in the paratesticular region. Am J Surg Pathol. 1993;17:221-30.
- 26. Douglass EC, Shapiro DN, Valentine M, Rowe ST, Carroll AJ, Raney RB, et al. Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma with the t(2;13): cytogenetic findings and clinicopathologic correlations. Med Pediatr Oncol. 1993;21:83-7.
- 27. Seidal T, Mark J, Hagmar B, Angervall L. Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma: a cytogenetic and correlated cytological and histological study. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand. [A] 1982;90:345-54.
- 28. Tsokos M, Webber BL, Parham DM, Wesley RA, Miser A, Miser JS, et al. Rhabdomyosarcoma a new classification scheme related to prognosis. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1992;116:847-55.
- 29. Udayakumar AM, Sundareshan TS, Appaji L, Biswas S, Mukherjee G. Rhabdomyosarcoma: cytogenetics of five cases using fine-needle aspiration samples and review of the literature. Ann Genet. 2002;45:33-7.
- 30. Sandberg AA, Bridge J. The cytogenetics of bone and soft tissue tumors. Georgetown: RG Landes; 1994. p. 150-88.
- 31. Shapiro DN, Sublett JE, Li B, Downing JR, Naeve CW. Fusion of PAX3 to a member of the forkhead family of transcription factors in human alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Res. 1993;53:5108-12.
- 32. Douglass EC, Rowe ST, Valentine M, Parham DM, Berkow R, Bowman WP, et al. Variant translocation of chromosome 13 in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1991;3:480-2.
- 33. Gunawan B, Fuzesi L, Granzen B, Keller U, Mertens R,

Steinau G, et al. Clinical aspects of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma with translocation t(1;13)(p36;q14) and hypotetraploidy. Pathol Oncol Res. 1999;5:211-3.

- 34. Kenet G, Sharon N, Rosner E, Toren A, Neumann Y, Mandel M, et al. Chromosomal translocation t(1;13)(p36;q14) in a case of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 1998;20:86-7.
- 35. Potluri VR, Gilbert F. A cytogenetic study of embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1985;14:169-73.
- 36. Stindl R, Fiegl M, Regele H, Gisslinger H, Breitenseher MJ, Fonatsch C. Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma in a 68-Year-Old patient identified by cytogenetic analysis of bone marrow. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1998;107:43-7.
- 37. Magnani I, Faustinella F, Nanni P, Nicoletti G, Larizza L. Karyotypic characterization of a new embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma cell line. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1991;54:83-9.
- 38. Gil-Benso R, Carda-Batalla C, Navarro-Fos S, Pellin-Perez A, Llombart-Bosch A. Cytogenetic study of a spindle-cell rhabdomyosarcoma of the paratoid gland. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1999;109:150-3.
- Gladstone B, Parikh PM, Balsara B, Kadam PR, Rao SR, Nair CN, et al. Rhabdomyosarcoma: a cytogenetically interesting case report. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1993;66:43-6.
- 40. Kullendorff CM, Donner M, Mertens F, Mandahl N. Chromosomal aberrations in a consecutive series of childhood rhabdomyosarcoma. Med Pediatr Oncol. 1998;30:156-9.
- 41. Kadan-Lottick NS, Stork L, Ruyle SZ, Koyle M, Hunger SP, McGavran L. Cytogenetic abnormalities in a case of botryoid rhabdomyosarcoma. Med Paediatr Oncol. 2000;34:293-5.
- 42. Dias P, Kumar P, Marsden HB, Gattamaneni HR, Heighway J, Kumar S. N-myc Gene is amplified in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) but not in embryonal RMS. Int J Cancer. 1990;45:593-6.
- 43. Palazzo JP, Gibas Z, Dunton CJ, Talerman A. Cytogenetic study of botryoid rhabdomyosarcoma of the uterine cervix. Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histopathol. 1993;422:87-91.
- 44. Chen Z, Coffin CM, Smith LM, Issa B, Arndt S, Shepard R, et al. Cytogenetic-clinicopathologic correlations in rhabdomyosarcoma: a report of five cases. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2001;131(1):31-6.
- 45. Clawson K, Donner LR, Dobin SM. Isochromosome (17)(q10) as the sole structural chromosomal rearrangement in a case of botryoid rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2001;128:11-3.
- 46. Debiec-Rychter M, Hagemeijer A, Sciot R. Spindle-cell rhabdomyosarcoma with 2q36 approximately q37 involvement. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2003;140(1):62-5.
- 47. Gil-Benso R, Lopez-Gines C, Carda C, et al. Cytogenetic

and molecular findings related to rhabdomyosarcoma. An analysis of seven cases. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2003;144(2):125-33.

- 48. Afify A, Mark HFL. Trisomy 8 in rhabdomyosarcoma detected by FISH. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1999;108:127-32.
- 49. Anderson J, Gordon A, Pritchard-Jones K, Shipley J. Genes, chromosomes, and rhabdomyosarcoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1999;26:275-85.
- 50. Scrable H, Witte D, Shimada H, Seemayer T, Sheng WW, Soukup S, et al. Molecular differential pathology of rhabdomyosarcoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1989;1:23-35.
- 51. Koufos A, Hansen MF, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, Lampkin BC, Cavenee WK. Loss of heterozygosity in three embryonal tumours suggests a common pathogenetic mechanism. Nature. 1985;316:330-4.
- 52. Ho RH, Johnson J, Dev VG, Whitlock JA. A novel t(2;20)(q35;p12) in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2004;151(1):73-7.
- 53. Aman P. Fusion genes in solid tumors. Semin Cancer Biol. 1999;9(4):303-18.
- 54. Mitelman F, Johansson B, Mertens F, editors. Mitelman database of chromosome aberrations in cancer. 2000. Available from: http:// cgap.nci.nih.gov/chromosomes/ mitelman
- 55. Barril N, Tajara EH. Aspectos moleculares do câncer tiroideano. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol. 1999;43(5):313-24.
- 56. Sozzi G, Minoletti F, Miozzo M, Sard L, Musso K, Azzarelli A, et al. Relevance of cytogenetic and fluorescent in situ hybridization analyses in the clinical assessment of soft tissue sarcoma. Hum Pathol. 1997;28(2):134-42.
- 57. McManus AP, Gusterson BA, Pinkerton CR, Shipley JM. The molecular pathology of small round-cell tumours relevance to diagnosis, prognosis, and classification. J Pathol.1996;178:116-21.
- 58. Mark HF, Brown S, Sun CL, Samy M, Afify A, et al. Fluorescent in situ hybridization detection of HER-2/neu gene amplification in rhabdomyosarcoma. Pathobiology. 1998;66:59-63.
- 59. Hachitanda Y, Toyoshima S, Akazawa K, Tsuneyoshi M. N-myc amplification in rhabdomyosarcoma detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization: its correlation with histologic features. Mod Pathol. 1998;11:1222-7.
- 60. Biegel JA, Nycum LM, Valentine V, Barr FG, Shapiro DN. Detection of the t(2;13)(q35;q14) and PAX3-FKHR fusion in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1995;12:186-92.
- 61. Lee W, Han K, Harris CP, Meisner LF. Detection of aneuploidy and possible deletion in paraffin-embedded rhabdomyosarcoma cells with FISH. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1993;68:99-103.

- 62. Wang-Wuu S, Soukup S, Ballard E, Gotwals B, Lampkin B. Chromosomal analysis of sixteen human rhabdomyosarcomas. Cancer Res. 1988;48:983-7.
- 63. Pandita A, Zielenska M, Thorner P, Bayani J, Godbout R, Greenberg M. Application of comparative genomic hybridization, spectral karyotyping, and microarray analysis in the identification of subtype-specific patterns of genomic changes in rhabdomyosarcoma. Neoplasia. 1999;1:262-75.
- 64. Sakamoto-Hojo ET. Hibridação in situ fluorescent (FISH): aplicação à mutagênese ambiental. In: Ribeiro LR, Salvadori DMF, Marques EK, editores. Mutagênese ambiental. Canoas: ULBRA; 2003. p. 225-46.
- 65. Meddeb M, Valent A, Danglot G, Nguyen VC, Duverger

A, Fouquet F. MDM2 amplification in a primary alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma displaying a t(2;13)(q35;14). Cytogenet Cell Genet. 1996;73:325-30.

- 66. Bridge JA, Liu J, Weibolt V, Baker KS, Perry D, Kruger R. Novel genomic imbalances in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma revealed by comparative genomic hybridization and fluorescence in situ hybridization: a intergroup rhabdomyosarcoma study. Genes Chromossomes Cancer. 2000;27:337-44.
- 67. Weber-Hall S, McManus A, Anderson J, Nojima T, Abe S, Pritchard-Jones K, et al. Novel formation and amplification of the PAX7-FKHR fusion gene in a case of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. Genes Chromossomes Cancer. 1996;17:7-13.